



WORLD NEWS DIGEST

THE NEWS YOU NEED AND NEVER SEE

Supplement

October 19, 1993

The Legacy of Sodom

by Dennis A. Wheeler

A new idea has thrust itself onto the American social and political scene this past decade — the idea that homosexuals are cheerful, productive, poetic victims of unjust persecution. Most Americans have never thought of them like that. In fact, even the subject of homosexuality has been perceived as shameful and generally received the silent treatment. Is the new view really an accurate description of homosexual life?

Historically, it certainly doesn't fit. Looking at the most popular and accurate history book in the world, we can see how a group of homosexuals acted 4,000 years ago. The setting is the city which so characterized homosexuality that its name is now used to describe homosexuals' perverted style of sexual intercourse.

Genesis 19: 1-10. The two angels arrived at Sodom and Lot was sitting in the gateway of the city. When he saw them, he got up to meet them and bowed down with his face to the ground. "My lords," he said, "please turn aside to your servant's house." ... [H]e insisted so strongly that they did go with him and entered his house....

Before they had gone to bed, all the men from every part of the city of Sodom — both young and old — surrounded the house. They called to Lot, "Where are the men who came to you tonight? Bring them out to us so that we can have sex with them." Lot went outside to meet them, "No, my friends. Don't do this wicked thing...."

"Get out of our way," they replied. "This fellow came here as an alien, and now he wants to play the judge! We'll treat you worse than them."

They kept bringing pressure on Lot.... But the men inside pulled Lot back into the house and shut the door.

This cheerless tale is preserved for us in the Bible. Lot, the nephew of Abraham, found himself in a culture

where all the men had degenerated into homosexuals and bisexuals. These men were not the least bit interested in "sexual relations between consenting adults," but were aggressive and forceful in their erotic pursuits. They were also vengeful and violent in their actions toward Lot, threatening to do worse to him than to their intended victims, because he opposed their actions.

This is how things were back then. But haven't things changed? Aren't modern homosexuals an "enlightened," civilized people who are far removed from such barbaric behavior? Let's examine the record.

Then As Now

Homosexuals argue that they are a peaceful and gay people who only want to be accepted for what they are and who they are. Yet, according to the *Washington Times*, eight out of every 10 homosexuals the U.S. Army court-martialed for sexual misconduct in the past four years had engaged in sexual assaults against their victims. Of these 102 assault cases, nearly half involved the molestation of children.

Jeffrey Dahmer is a homosexual who wasn't concerned about the consent of his partners. He lured young men to his apartment, drugged them, raped them, killed them, and ate them. This was not an isolated occurrence of homosexual behavior, either. According to Dr. Paul Cameron who writes the *Family Research Report*, "the top six U.S. male serial killers were all homosexuals." John Wayne Gacy raped and killed 33 boys in Chicago. Patrick Kearney murdered 32 young men, cutting them into small pieces after sex and leaving the bodies in trash bags along L.A. freeways. Juan Corona, the migrant worker in California, was convicted of murdering 25 migrant workers. He testified at the trial that he had "made love with the corpses."

Even those homosexuals who don't make a *career* of mutilating their "lovers" are known for an astounding degree of savagery when they become violent. Among law enforcement officials, homosexuals are infamous for the overwhelming violence they employ against each other when they discover their partners have been unfaithful or want a "separation."

And the incredibly promiscuous behavior of the Sodomites hasn't changed either. According to Dr. Paul Cameron, "Our surveys show that the average homosexual has around 100 sex partners in a lifetime. Now some have thousands. But the average is around 100."

Homosexual behavior, you see, is no different now than it was in the days of Lot.

Stifling All Opposition

Homosexuals, bisexuals, lesbians, and others have joined hands in a political alliance across America and throughout the "civilized" world. The license to practice their "lifestyle" has gone virtually unopposed. But that is not enough for them. The homosexual lobby is now working to use the Civil Rights Act to gain for themselves "protected minority status." They are moving forward on another front as well. They want to stifle all resistance to their foul deeds by making opposition to them illegal.

Last spring, President Clinton nominated an avowed lesbian, Roberta Achtenberg, for a post in the Department of Housing and Urban Development. In her Senate confirmation hearing, to which Miss Achtenberg brought her rabbi and her "lesbian lover," Senator Jesse Helms tried to make her lesbian perversion an issue, "We are crossing the threshold into the first time in the history of America that a homosexual, a lesbian, has been nominated by a President of the United States for a top job in the U.S. government."

Helms was then treated to a barrage of criticisms intended to stifle debate rather than to deal with the issue. Senator Carol Moseley-Braun protested, "I am frightened to hear the politics of fear and divisiveness and of hatred rear its ugly head on this floor..."

Senator Don Riegle opined that Helms "reflected poorly on the Senate."

Also, in San Francisco recently, the Rev. Eugene Lumpkin, an African-American, ran afoul of the homo-

sexual lobby by admitting that he agreed with the biblical verses which call for the stoning of men who have sex with other men. Lumpkin was promptly removed from his position on the city's human rights council.

The hypocrisy of the homosexuals is evident in this case: While demanding their own freedom of expression, they have no intention of extending this privilege to their opponents.

It goes further than this. Mel White was a ghostwriter for several leaders of the religious right, including Pat Robertson and Jerry Falwell. He has recently "come out of the closet" and declared himself to be a homosexual. He now "pastors" an "alternative lifestyle church" in the Dallas area, and has big plans for the Christian church. According to his own words, White has launched a war against Christians:

We have gone underground and we have people in every one of the religious right's organizations. We're on their mailing lists. We're reading everything they're putting out. We think the words from their mouths trickle down into violence. And when our evidence reaches a critical mass, we're going to use the best attorneys in this country to bring a class action suit in 50 states to have it stopped.... I know them better than anybody knows them.... So, this war I'm declaring is personal. They're my friends, my enemy.

You can see what's at stake here; the homosexual lobby has aimed its cannons directly at the Christian church. It has done so because the church safeguards the moral ethic that condemns homosexuals as wretched perverts who *must* change their behavior or suffer severe consequences. (The Bible prescribes death as the penalty for homosexual activity. Christendom has traditionally dealt with this crime in a less serious, but still decisive manner.)

Mel White's plan to use the courts of law to silence Christendom reveals the intention of the homosexual movement: *the complete and total overthrow of the Christian moral order under which Western civilization has existed and flourished for hundreds of years.*

The Basic Civil Right

The homosexual movement has defined itself as a persecuted minority whose basic civil rights are being

World News Digest is published 24 times a year by Soundview Publications, Inc., Suite 100, 1350 Center Drive, Dunwoody, Georgia 30338.

Publisher: Wallis W. Wood
Editor: Robert K. Kroening
Assist. Editor: Steven E. Kroening
Assist. Editor: Dennis Wheeler
Washington Editor: William Hoar
Marketing Manager: Joyce Morris
Production Manager: Penny Lane
Art Director: Elizabeth Bame

Subscriptions: 1 year (24 issues) \$78. 2 years (48 issues) \$138. Foreign addresses, add \$13 per year for airmail delivery. Back issues, \$4. Address correspondence regarding new subscriptions or changes of address to our **Business Office:** P.O. Box 467939, Atlanta, GA 30346-7939. (800) 728-2288.

Information contained in *World News Digest* has been carefully selected from sources believed reliable, but absolute accuracy cannot be guaranteed.

Copyright © 1993 by Soundview Publications, Inc. All rights reserved. Unauthorized reproduction of this newsletter or its contents by xerography, facsimile, or any other means is illegal and punishable by law.

denied by people, groups, and governments who discriminate against them. These equalitarian concepts have proven very difficult for typical Americans and Western Europeans to contest. Author Samuel Francis noticed this during the Achtenberg hearing, "This, then, is the position in which the nation now stands: a senator who objects to the nomination of an open homosexual to a high public office is condemned by his colleagues as a fearmonger, a bigot, and an exponent of hatred, and is told he is a disgrace to the Senate, while the pervert herself is held up as a moral paragon."

The reason for this dilemma is that America has accepted the equalitarian philosophy of civil rights. According to this paradigm, all men are assumed to be "men of good will" except those who are infected with the twin "evils" of nationalism (patriotism) and theism (belief in God). The further you stand from patriotism or a belief in God, the more righteous you are assumed to be because of your tolerance, acceptance, and open-mindedness.

The homosexual who has overcome his conscience is guilty of neither patriotism nor theism. His time and energy is spent fulfilling his carnal desires. He admits no obligation towards his community and society. In fact, the homosexual lifestyle is invariably anti-society, because it destroys any possibility that society can endure and continue.

Besides this, the unrepentant homosexual must ceaselessly make conscious attempts to deny the existence of God, or any lesser authority who makes moral judgements on his choices. Homosexual "churches" have nothing to do with worshipping the true God, as He makes very clear His *intolerance* of them in the scriptures. Instead, they worship a god of their own imagination who is not affronted by their total perversion of the created order.

There is only one answer to the philosophical dilemma posed by the homosexual movement: totally reject civil rights as a valid legal concept. "Civil rights" have proven utterly incapable of propagating the just and peaceful coexistence of men in society. Instead, they have instigated unprecedented strife and injustice. We must return to our historic, efficacious, and proven common law if we are ever to regain peace in our country.

The common law upon which America was built protected the citizens against *force* and *fraud*. This was the essence of the laws regulating relations between citizens. No one could use force against a fellow citizen. And no one could defraud anyone else. The simplicity of this law system allowed for great liberty.

The civil rights legal system is entirely different. This system *uses* force, in the form of coercive state powers, to make people associate and enter into financial relationships with others, whether they want to or not. Freedom of association is forcibly taken from the citizen and the government becomes a participant in most financial and social interactions.

Applying the civil rights paradigm to the homosexual implies that the state will now force businesses to

overlook homosexuality in all hiring decisions. Landlords must do the same in housing. And, to be logically consistent, churches must overlook homosexuality in all membership decisions. In fact, anyone who discriminates against a homosexual on the grounds of his behavior becomes a criminal.

This is a drastic reversal in roles for American governments. As it now stands, 24 states list consensual sodomy as a statutory crime. Society has judged it to be such a serious breach of morality that the state is empowered to use force in subduing it. Applying civil rights status to homosexuals makes a mockery of our statute law. It places the government in the compromising position of having to use force against the very people it has heretofore used force to protect from the homosexuals.

Another item to remember is this: If taken to its logical conclusion, the granting of civil rights status to homosexuals produces another absurdity. If homosexuals get it, how will pedophiles be excluded? Many homosexuals are pedophiles — in fact, they have an advocacy group to agitate for their "right" to molest young boys. It's called the North American Man Boy Love Association (NAMBLA).

Pedophiles have also learned to speak the language of equalitarianism. A spokesman for NAMBLA recently said his group's main function was to change the laws in the country to allow adults to have sexual relations with children. At a recent meeting, a NAMBLA press release stated, "These quiet events provide a space for men who love boys to talk about their feelings and their struggle for justice in a society that fails to understand the form of love esteemed in ancient Greece and in many other cultures throughout history."

Pornography must also be allowed under the civil rights motif. And child porn certainly cannot be discriminated against. All behavior, no matter how vile and perverse, is allowed in the civil rights scheme of things, as long as this behavior demonstrates no patriotic loyalty and no belief in God.

The Homosexual Agenda's American History

In May of this year, President Clinton, with apparent glee, announced to the American people that "gays" had always served in the American military. He was merely putting forth a policy which respected the great contributions they had made. His goal was to establish a standard of judgement wherein a sailor or soldier was judged by his standard of performance rather than his sexual orientation.

The President was probably correct in his assessment that "gays" had always served in the military. But that is not the issue. The issue is: "What is society's judgement on homosexual conduct?" Will such conduct be held up as worthy of special protection, will it simply be tolerated, or will it be punished? Any answer we choose will have far-reaching consequences.

Until the mid-1960s, homosexuals stayed hidden

from sight in this country. When they began to emerge and thumb their noses at American society, they were opposed by singer/beauty queen Anita Bryant. The violent and vituperative campaign they carried on against Mrs. Bryant was simply dreadful. Eventually, though, the homosexuals prevailed, and when Bryant lost, America lost. Still, very few realized what was in store for the country at that time.

After this victory, the homosexuals began peppering radio and TV call-in shows, spreading the message that they were wonderful Americans who had rights. Gradually they worked their way into the corridors of American society. Massachusetts elected two homosexuals to Congress. Homosexuals became judges. They became talk-show hosts. They even became the boy next door.

In 1990, President Bush climbed into political bed with the homosexual movement and gave the cause tremendous political impetus. Bush became the first President of the United States to invite homosexual activists to the White House and a presidential bill signing. The momentous occasion for this was the placement of his signature on the Hate Crimes Statistics Act.

With the triumph secured in Washington, the battle then moved to California. That state is governed by a Fair Employment and Housing Act which outlaws discrimination against any members of minority groups listed in the act. In 1991 the legislature took up a bill to add homosexuals to that list. Republican Governor Pete Wilson supported the bill and the debate in the California Assembly was loud and acrimonious.

One of the most disputatious speeches was made by a freshman Assemblyman named David Knowles (R-Sacramento). Reading a report from the Centers for Disease Control, Knowles alleged that it was common for homosexuals to urinate on each other and play with each others' feces. He concluded, "I believe that it is the right of every Californian to know the specifics of the lifestyle that a vote for this bill would advocate."

Assemblyman Knowles was scorned and ridiculed by both the press and opposition lawmakers. But in the end, the bill failed and the homosexuals were rebuffed.

Forward to the White House

This same scene has been replayed in scores of states, counties, and cities across the country. Despite the setback in California, the homosexual movement marches on. It has won great victories in Oregon, Atlanta, New York City, and other places. It has been beaten most notably in Colorado and Cobb County, Georgia. The issue has not been settled, and the fight continues.

We should salute those valiant souls who have ventured into the public arena to fight them, for this is done at great price. The pro-homosexual media makes it a point to vilify and intimidate those who oppose the homosexual movement.

With the ascent of Bill Clinton to the presidency, 1993 has proved a banner year for the homosexuals.

One of Clinton's first executive actions was the ill-fated attempt to remove the restrictions on "gays" in the military. He has nominated at least one known lesbian — Roberta Achtenberg. Also, credible evidence of both lesbianism and moral turpitude has surfaced concerning Janet Reno. Though she denies it.

The homosexual "March on Washington" last April carried the queer clamor to a higher decibel level. By some estimates, 300,000 homosexuals invaded America's capital and flaunted demands for their civil rights. This was the "movement" in full flower: lesbians strolling topless, men totally naked, anti-Christian and anticapitalist signs everywhere, and vile language pouring shamefully forth in overflowing abundance.

The cat was let out of the bag concerning two members of the President's team. First, Larry Kramer, one of the founders of ACT-UP, "outed" (exposed as a lesbian) Secretary of Health and Human Services Donna Shalala. Kramer denounced Shalala for failing to admit she was one of them and joining the march. To this day, Shalala denies the charge.

Second, Hillary Clinton was referred to in the dearest of terms. One of the masters of ceremonies, a lesbian, was thrilled that the United States finally had someone in the White House who she could have sex with. Curiously, neither Hillary nor her husband has bothered to deny the charge that she is a lesbian. And now similar rumors about Bill are beginning to fly.

By taking up residence in the White House, the homosexual movement is now in a position to project political, legal, and social pressure on American society for years to come. Perverted politicians concoct perverted laws. And perverted judges pervert justice. The homosexual movement has transformed itself into the homosexual menace.

Conclusion

To fail to stop the "menace" means that America will become like Sodom in the days of Lot. We will have no peace, but will be hounded by the homosexuals night and day. This is what awaits our society once they receive a full measure of civil rights.

We must oppose their evil onslaught. Yet, in order for our opposition to be effective, we will have to sweep away the philosophical ground on which the homosexual menace stands. And that philosophical ground is something very dear to millions of Americans — the concept of civil rights.

The application of civil rights to one person or group necessitates the removal of common law rights to another. It can never be any other way.

In this light it becomes evident that the homosexual menace is a part of a much larger problem. How will America be governed? By the common law in a constitutional republic? Or by the civil rights law in an anarchic democracy?

Our fight with the homosexual menace is a fight for the soul of America. We had better get to it.